

## REMARKS

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action mailed July 12, 2005. The Examiner's comments in the Action have been carefully considered.

Claims 13 has been objected to because of a minor informality. This informality has been corrected by this Amendment. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the object to claim 13 as well as claims 14 - 41, which depend on claim 13, be withdrawn.

While claims 2-11 and 59-122 have been allowed, claims 1 and 12 have been rejected as being fully anticipated by a newly cited reference, namely, U.S. Patent No. 4,893,223 issued to Arnold. The Examiner's bases for this rejection are set forth in paragraph 6. For reasons more specifically discussed below, this rejection is respectfully traversed, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

On September 9, 2005, Examiner Anabel Ton and Examiner's SPE Sandra O'Shea conducted a telephone conference with the undersigned attorney for applicant. During this telephone conference the prior art reference was discussed as was the Arnold '223 patent. On the basis of such discussion, agreement was reached that amending claims 1 and 12 to recite that at least one or more diodes are mounted on the mounting surface of the mounting template at angles skewed to the surface of the mounting template would place these claims in condition for allowance. Claims 1 and 12 have been amended to incorporate such limitation, and the specification, at page 25 and 26, has likewise been amended to reflect the language added to the claims based upon the teaching and disclosure in the drawings as filed. This limitation in the claims distinguishes over the illumination device of Arnold, wherein the diodes are mounted such that the diodes and the light beams that they emit are substantially normal or perpendicular to the mounting structure.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance. Early allowance and issuance is, accordingly, respectfully solicited.


*Applicant hereby petitions that any and all extensions of time of the term necessary to render this response timely be granted. COSTS FOR SUCH EXTENSION(S) AND/OR ANY OTHER FEE DUE WITH THIS FEE DUE WITH THIS PAPER THAT ARE NOT FULLY COVERED BY AN ENCLOSED CHECK MAY BE CHARGED TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT #10-0100.*

Date: September 15, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Lackenbach Siegel LLP  
One Chase Road  
Scarsdale, NY 10583  
Telephone: 914 723 4300


LACKENBACH SIEGEL LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)  
Customer No. 28762

By:   
MYRON GREENSPAN  
Reg. No.: 25,680

MG/as

**Certificate of Deposit by Mail**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed by depositing same in an envelope stamped first-class mail, addressed to the Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, in a duly marked U.S. Postal Service drop box, with appropriate postage, on the following date:

Myron Greenspan  
Name  
  
Signature  
September 15, 2005  
Date